



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,684	02/27/2002	Tomonari Yamamoto	020254	1544
38834	7590	11/03/2004	EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			ERDEM, FAZLI	
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			ART UNIT	
SUITE 700			PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2826	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/083,684

Applicant(s)

YAMAMOTO, TOMONARI

Examiner

Fazli Erdem

Art Unit

2826

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 August 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-10, 12-14, 16-19, 21-23 and 25-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-10, 12-14, 16-19, 21-23, 25 and 26 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 27-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Allowable Subject Matter*

1. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-14, 16-19, 21-23 25 and 26 allowed.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 27 and 28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Talwar et al. (6,380,04) in view of Guegan (5,705,410).

Regarding Claims 27 and 28, Talwar et al. disclose a high speed semiconductor transsitor and selective absorption process forming same where in Fig. 1E, shallow source extension 60, shallow drain extension 62, deep source extension 80, deep drain extension 84 are formed under gate structure 36 and in single crystal 10. Source/drain extensions include dopants/impurities for amorphization. Talwar et al. fail to disclose the seeping of source/drain extension under the gate structure and the required capacitance value. However, Guegan disclose method of producing a semiconductor with a highly doped zone situated between lightly doped zones for the manufacture of transistors where in Fig. 2, source/drain extensions are under the gate and the required capacitance value is disclosed in column 7.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required seeping of source/drain under the gate and

the required capacitance value in Talwar et al. as taught by Guegan in order to have a semiconductor structure with increased performance.

4. Claims 29 and 30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Talwar et al. (6,380,04) in view of Guegan (5,705,410) further in view of Chou et al. (5,308,780)

Regarding Claims 29 and 30, Talwar et al. disclose a high speed semiconductor transistor and selective absorption process forming same where in Fig. 1E, shallow source extension 60, shallow drain extension 62, deep source extension 80, deep drain extension 84 are formed under gate structure 36 and in single crystal 10. Source/drain extensions include dopants/impurities for amorphization. Talwar et al. fail to disclose the seeping of source/drain extension under the gate structure, the required capacitance value, and the required larger tilt angle on one of the source/drain sides. However, Guegan disclose method of producing a semiconductor with a highly doped zone situated between lightly doped zones for the manufacture of transistors where in Fig. 2, source/drain extensions are under the gate and the required capacitance value is disclosed in column 7. Furthermore, Chou et al. disclose surface counter-doped N-LDD for high hot carrier reliability where in Fig. 3, larger tilt angle on one of the source/drain sides is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required seeping of source/drain under the gate, the required capacitance value, and the required larger tilt angle on one of the source/drain sides in Talwar et al. as taught by Guegan and Thou et al. respectively in order to have a semiconductor structure with increased performance.

### ***Conclusion***

Art Unit: 2826

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FE

October 25, 2004



**NATHAN J. FLYNN**  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800